

# NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

# Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

December 1, 2017

Mr..Richard Troop Fortis Construction 1705 SW Taylor St, Ste 200 Portland, Oregon 97205

Re: Fortis Construction/ Project Antelope; CGP; SIC 1541; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES #NMR1000CX; November 1, 2017

Dear Mr. Troop:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Introduction, detailed site observations, and findings noted during this inspection are discussed in the "NPDES Construction General Permit" section of the inspection report.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Robert Houston US Environmental Protection Agency, Suite 1200 Enforcement Branch (6EN-WS) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Sarah Holcomb, Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Surface Water Quality Bureau

Cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN-WS) by e-mail Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail Nancy Williams, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail John Rhoderick, NMED District I by e-mail Neil McEachin, Fortis Construction by email

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



	NPDES Compliance Inspection Report																													
											Secti	on A:	Natio	nal Da	ta Sy	stem (	Codin	g												
1	Transa N	action 2	Code 5 3	N	М	R	1	0	NPDI 0	ES 0	C	X	11	12	1	7	yr 1	/mo/d 1	lay 0	1	17	In 18	spec. T	уре		Ins 19	specto S	or 20	Fac 7	Гуре
			n s	Т	R	U	С	Т	I	О	N		>	Remar 5		A	С	R	Е	S										
	Ins 67	pection	n Work D	Days 69			Fac	cility l 70	Evalua 3	tion R	ating		71	BI N	72	QA N	73			74	75		-Reser	ved-					80	
												Sec	ction	B: Fac	ility I	Data														
			on of Fac NPDES <sub>J</sub>				r indus	strial u	sers di	scharg	ging to	POTV	V, also	o inclu	de		ry Tim ov 1, 2			) am			Per 2/16			ective	Date			
NM6		nd Ŝag	e Road nas, Vale	encia C	ounty,	NM											t Time v 1, 20			om			Per 2/16		•	iratio	n Dat	e		
Nam	e(s) of	On-S	ite Repres	sentati	ve(s)/T	itle(s)	/Phone	e and	Fax Nu	ımber	(s)											Ot	her Fac	cility	y Da	ta				
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)  Neil McEachin/Superintendent/Neil.McEachin@fortisconstruction.com  Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Rick Troop/Project Manager/503-332-0870  Fortis Construction 1705 SW Taylor St, Ste 200 Portland, Oregon 97205						Yes		Cont	tacte No	ed	]		C 154 iildings					etors-l	ndustr	rial										
	land, C	regon		00																										
	land, C	Oregon		00				()	S = Sat					valuate					Eval	uated)										
	Pern			00		N	ı F		S = Sat <b>Aeasu</b> i	isfacto	ory, M				Jnsatis	factor		Not I				N	CSO	D/SS	0					
S M	Pern	nit		00		N		Flow N		remen	ory, M t	= Mar		, U = U	Ope	factor	y, N =	Not I	ena	nce		N N	f			reven	tion			
S M N	Perm Rec	nit ords/F	97205 Reports te Review	W		N	1 (	Flow N Self-N Comp	Aeasur Monito liance	remen	ory, M t Progra	= Mar		N N N	Ope Slu Pr	factor eration dge H etreat	y, N = ns & N landlin	Not I	ena	nce		N N	Polli Mul	utio time	n Pr	even	tion			
S M	Perm Rec	nit ords/F	97205 Reports	W	rs	N	1 1	Flow M Self-M Comp Labor	Aeasur Monito liance ratory	remen oring l	ory, M t Progra	[ = Mar	rginal	N N N S	Ope Slu Pr Sto	factor eration dge H etreat rm W	y, N = ns & N landlin ment vater	Not I	ena	nce al	ssarv	N N N	Poll	utio time	n Pr	reven	tion			
S M N	Perm Rec	nit ords/F ility Si uent/F uent/F	97205 Reports te Review	w Wate rrived and e	on si xplair s con	M N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Second of the pulling	Flow M Self-M Comp Labor ction	Measur Monito diance ratory D: Sur 10:30	remen oring l Schee mmar; am a e ins	erogradules  y of Find copection	am indings	s/Con	N N N S	Ope Slu Pr Sto S (Atta	factor eration dge H etreat rm W ach ad ervie	y, N = ns & M landlin ment later ldition	Not I	ena spos eets	if nece	n who	N N N	Polli Mul Otho	ution time er:	n Pr edia	oduc	tions	•		
S M N N	Pern Rec Faci Effi 1. 2.	nit ords/F uent/F Insp crec Exit	eports te Review ecciving	w Wate rrived and e ew wa	on si xplair s con resen	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Second of the pulling	Flow M Self-M Comp Labor ction	Measur Monito diance ratory D: Sur 10:30	remen oring l Schee mmar; am a e ins	t Progra dules y of Fi nd co pectic 30 pr	= Mar inding: nnduct on.	s/Conted e	N N N S	Ope Slu Pr Sto s (Atta ce inte	factor rration dge H etreat rm W ach ad erviev cEach	y, N = ns & M landlin ment later ldition	Not I	ena spos eets	if nece	n who	N N N	Pollin Mul	ution time er: de i	n Predia	oduc	tions	•		
S M N N N N	Perm Recc Faci Effi 1. 2.	Inspected the state of the stat	deports te Review deceiving Dector al dentials intervie	Waterrived and e www.aeerep	on si xplair s con resen	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Second of the pulling	Flow M Self-M Comp Labor ction	Measur Monito diance ratory D: Sur 10:30	remen oring l Schee mmar; am a e ins	t Programme V of Financial Age	= Man	s/Conted et	, U = U N N N S S mmments ntranc	Ope Slu Pr Sto s (Atta	factor dge H etreat rm W ach ad ervier cEach	y, N = ns & M landlin ment later ldition	Not I	ena spos eets	if nece	n who	N N N	Polli Mul Other	ution time er: de i	n Predia	oduc	tions	•		
S M N N N N Jenn	Pern Rec Faci Efff  1. 2.	Inspection of Signature of Sign	deports te Review deceiving Dector al dentials intervie permitte	w Wate  Wate	on si xplair s con resen	te at need the ducte tative	Second of the pulling	Flow M Self-M Comp Labor ction	Measur Monito diance ratory D: Sur 10:30	remen oring l Schee mmar; am a e ins	Age NM Age	= Mar indings induct on. m 11/1	s/Conted ed	N N S S MINIMARIAN S S TELEPHORE	Ope Slu Pr Sto Sto S (Atta	factor dge H etreat rm W ach ad erviev cEach	y, N = see Manual Manua	Not I	ena spos eets	if nece	n who	N N N	Pollin Mul Other	ution time er: de i	n Predia	oduc	tions	•		

NPDES Construction General Permit Inspection Report – State of New Mexico

	madiidii doilolai i di		, po	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Inspection Date	11/1/17	Entry Time	10:30	0am
	1 1/ 1/ 1/	Exit Time	1:30	)pm
Inspector Name/	Jennifer Foote			
Telephone	505-827-0596			
Facility Name/	Project Antelope			
Physical Location	NM6 & Sand Sage Roa	ad		
·	Village of Los Lunas, N			
Facility Type	□ Commercial	□ Residential	☐ Municipal	
County Location	Valencia County			
Latitude/Longitude	34.8265 -106.7	7789		
(Decimal Degrees)				

Operator/Mailing Address	Date Company Operation Began	Authorized Official(s)	Phone	NPDES Tracking Number	NOI Cert Date	SWPPP Cert Date
Fortis Construction 1705 SW Taylor St, Ste 200	2003	Richard Troop	505-332- 0870	NMR1000CX	5/9/17	11/1/17
Portland, Oregon 97205 Greater Kudu, LLC	2017	unknown		NMU001949		
4250 Messenger Loop Los Lunas, NM 87031	2011	aa.				

Was project covered under a p	revious permit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
If yes, previous NPDES Tracking	ng Numbers:	2012 CGP: Fortis ( NMR12BN	
Actual Start Date	Approx early November 2016	Estimated End Date	9/30/2019
Disturbed Area		5 □ <1acre and part of lar	ger common plan
Receiving Water, including information on segment number, impairments, tier	Rio Grande (Rio Puerco impaired for temperatur	o to Isleta Pueblo bnd), Seg e, Tier 2	ment 20.6.4.105

Permittee Representatives Present During Inspection:									
Name	Company/Organizatio	Title	Telephone						
Neil McEachin	Fortis Construction	Superintendent	Neil.McEachin@fortisconstruction.com						

### **Section I – Introduction:**

The project is the construction of a data center on 300 acres of land in Los Lunas NM. Adjacent to the site is the PNM Solar Facility(under construction) and the future Huning Ranch Business Park.

The entire 300 acre site has been brought to grade and building construction has started. Building construction will be phased over several years. The site has been graded to drain to several new retention ponds designed to retain water onsite. Though outside their site limits, the contractor assisted the adjacent land owner (Huning Ranch) with installing drainage ponds on the uphill side of the site to divert run-on flows from their site. The ponds were not stabilized, but some native vegetation is coming in. The pre-existing drainage channel on the south side of the site has been incorporated and it appears it will no longer discharge. There is one channel on the southwest that may discharge, some check dams had been added and final drainage design was not included in the available SWPPP documents.

# <u>Section II – Observations Summary:</u>

### Permit:

The Project owner with operational control over construction plans and specifications, including the ability to make modifications to those plans and specifications is listed in the SWPPP as Greater Kudu LLC. The owner has not applied for permit coverage. The Operator with day-to-day operational control of those activities at a project that are necessary to ensure compliance with the permit conditions is Fortis Construction, who has obtained permit coverage under the 2012 NPDES Construction General Permit (CGP) and the 2017 CGP permit.

The NOI documented eligibility for the permit under Criterion A for Endangered Species Act purposes (no listed species and no critical habitat areas in your action area). The SWPPP states that USFWS was consulted, however documentation of this was not included in the Plan other than a species profile (and Section 2.2.4 states the project is in Cibola County while the project occurs in Valencia County) Documentation did not show that the ECOS process outlined in Appendix D of the permit was fully followed.

The SWPPP stated that they expected to encounter historical, cultural resource, and/or archaeological sites and included information on the Sandoval County Courthouse but did not indicate that the state had been consulted (see additional guidance provided by State of New Mexico in Appendix B of this inspection).

### SWPPP:

The SWPPP available onsite had not been updated since it was initially written Sept 16, 2016. The operator was not aware of the parts of the SWPPP they were responsible to maintain, such as inserting the construction schedule, updating the tables, and signing the plan and inspections. The signatory authority was onsite and signed the plan and delegation of authority letter to sign inspections.

Locations of potential pollutants are not noted on the map. The site map and inspections referenced an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, but only a Google aerial view of the site was included in the plan. The included map did not show controls that were in place.

There were no plans for stabilizing areas outside of the project limits that the contractor disturbed at request of the adjacent land owner such as utility corridor and the new drainage ponds. The plan did not include any specific information on final stabilization for the site.

There is no description of Corrective Actions requirements included in the SWPPP.

### Recordkeeping & Inspections:

Inspections are conducted by 814 Solutions. Inspector qualifications for Lauren Jennings were not included in the SWPPP available onsite. The SWPPP states in section 3.10.1 that inspections will be conducted a minimum of every 7 days from June 15-September 30, and every 14 days and within 24 hours following a .25" precipitation event during the rest of the year. Inspections were not performed weekly during the SWPPP described "rainy season". The start date of the project was not documented in the plan but was thought to be in early October. The first inspection was on 11-30-16 which was not within 14 days as required.

There was no documentation of corrective actions being performed, though BMP repairs are occurring. There was no documentation of training of personnel including the stormwater team and personnel who are responsible for the design, installation, maintenance, and/or repair of stormwater controls.

### BMPs/Implementation:

The public NOI posting was facing the inside of the site located inside the access control and was therefore not visible to the general public.

Fortis Construction maintains the BMPs. In general the site was neat and orderly. There was a 10,000 gal DOT fuel tank covered by a SPCC, as well as several smaller tanks onsite. Porta Potties were staked down, however the high winds and sandy soil in open areas require stronger tie-downs. Dumpsters were not covered and packing materials were observed to blow out of a dumpster. The contractor stated that materials had been ordered to cover the dumpsters.

The entire 300 acre site has been disturbed and appears bare. The site has been sprayed with Silt Stop(anionic polymer) as a temporary stabilization, however, there is no documentation of locations/dates applied, or how long it is expected to be effective before reapplication is required. In addition to the Silt Stop application, 6 trucks are applying water when needed for dust control. The facility seemed to have adequate street sweeping and no tracking was observed the day of the inspection.

Silt fences on the north side of the site had been damaged by recent grading operations and was not yet repaired. Check dams were not included in the original design but were installed along the road on the south side after they were found to be necessary.

# <u>Section III – Inspection Findings:</u>

Findings below are organized by permit section.

# Part I: Permit Eligibility

### Findings:

- Part 1.1.1. Party with operational control over construction plans and specifications, including the ability to make modifications to those plans and specifications did not obtain a permit
- Part 1.1.5 ESA Criteria Process in Appendix D was not fully followed and was not conducted for this specific location.

• Part 1.5 SWPPP Public posting was located inside a fence with access control and facing inwards (photo 2).

# Part 2: Design, Installation and Maintenance Requirements

# **Findings:**

- Part 2.3.3 Waste containers were not covered when not in use and debris was blowing away (photo 3).
- Part 2.3.3 Anchors were insufficient for portable toilet in high wind (photo 4).
- Part 2.2.5 No documentation of temporary stabilization of soil stockpiles was available
- Part 2.2.12 No documentation of sediment basin design was available.
- Part 2.2.13 No documentation on the use of dust control polymers was available.
- Part 2.2.14 No documentation of temporary stabilization of disturbed areas.

# Part 4: Site Inspection Requirements

### **Findings:**

- Part 4 Inspections were not conducted at the frequency specified in the SWPPP plan during the specified "rainy season".
- Part 4.1 Qualifications for the most recent inspector were not included in the SWPPP.
- Part 4.7.2. Inspections were not signed by the Operator.

# Part 5: Corrective Actions

### Findings:

• Part 5 No documentation of corrective actions was available.

# Part 6: Staff Training Requirements

#### Findings:

• Part 6 No documentation of staff training was available

# Part 7: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

# Findings:

- Part 7.1 The SWPPP was not updated after the 2017 permit was issued.
- Part 7.2.4 The site map in the SWPPP was inadequate and had not been updated.
- Part 7.2.6 The plan did not describe controls currently in place.
- Part 7.2.10 The SWPPP was not certified (was certified during inspection).

# **Section IV - List of Appendices:**

Appendix A: Photo log

Appendix B: Application process for National Historic Preservation Act requirements for New Mexico

NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1						
Photographer: Google Earth	Date: 4-22-17	Time: unknown				
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico				
Location: Project Antelope						
Subject: Agriel view of project in Apri	1 2017					



Tour Guide		Inappropriate (197/2017 - 20-10-20197 It (10-20191-10-70) also 2006 it appeals (2018 it				
NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2						
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 12:50 pm				
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico				
Location: Project Antelope						



	NMED/SWQB Official Photog Photo # 3	raph Log
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 1:15 pm
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico
Location: Project Antelope		
Subject: Packing materials blowing out	of uncovered dumpster	



	NMED/SWQB Official Photog Photo # 4	raph Log
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 12:44 pm
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico
Location: Project Antelope		
Subject: Porta potty with insufficient ar	chors for high wind	



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 5						
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 1:14 pm				
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico				
Location: Project Antelope						
Subject: Stormwater retention pond wit	hin site limits					



1000	NMED/SWQB Official Photog Photo # 6	graph Log
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 12:28 pm
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico
Location: Project Antelope		
Subject: Stormwater retention pond and	d utility corridor outside site limits with no	documented plans for stabilization



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 7						
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 12:37 pm				
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico				
Location: Project Antelope						
Subject: silt fence on north side of site	damaged by grading					



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 8		
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 11-1-17	Time: 12:28 pm
City/County: Los Lunas/Valencia		State: New Mexico
Location: Project Antelope		
Subject: New check dams on south side of site		

